



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/732,439	12/07/2000	Paul C. Anderson	950.030US2	1720
	590 05/13/2004	•	EXAM	INER
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			COLLINS, CYNTHIA E	
P.O Box 2938	KLUIH, F.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, N	IN 55402		1638	
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/732,439	ANDERSON ET AL					
, and y , ideas.	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Cynthia Collins	1638					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropertionally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 December 2003</u>.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		: forth in				
		· · · NOTE I · · · · ·					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject paragraph.	ion(s): the rejection of claim 73 u	under 35 USC 112,	second				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5 ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	Γ place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>59-63,72 and 73</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>64-71 and 7</u>	PRI	DAVID T. FOX MARY EXAMINER GROUP 180 /					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.⊠ Other: the appeal brief filed March 15, 2004 has been entered.							
	•						